Linked Lists

Thomas Schwarz, SJ

- Spin locks:
 - Retry over and over again
- Blocking locks
 - Instead of spinning, go to sleep
 - Mechanism to

- Peterson lock
 - Starvation-free mutual exclusion

```
class Peterson implements Lock {
private boolean[] flag = new boolean[2]; private int victim;
public void lock() {
    int i = ThreadID.get(); // either 0 or 1 int j = 1-i;
    flag[i] = true;
    victim = i;
    while (flag[j] && victim == i) {}; // spin }
}
```

- We have shown that the Peterson lock works
 - But not in practice!
 - Multiprocessor do **not**
 - provide sequentially consistent memory
 - guarantee program order among read-writes of a single thread
 - Why?:
 - Compilers, Hardware (write buffer)

- To prevent re-ordering:
 - Use special memory barrier instruction
 - A.K.A. memory fence
 - Can fix Peterson's algorithm by a barrier before each read
 - Memory fences are expensive:
 - About same as a Compare-And-Set instruction

- Test And Set operation:
 - Consensus number 2
 - Used in early multiprocessor architectures
- Test-And-Set (TAS) operates on a single memory word / byte holding a binary value
 - Atomically swaps the value true for the current value
 - Return value tells if prior value was true or false

Test and Set Locks in CPP

```
std::atomic_flag lock_stream = ATOMIC_FLAG_INIT;
std::stringstream stream;
```

```
void append number(int x) {
  while (lock stream.test and set()) {}
  stream << "thread #" << x << '\n';</pre>
  lock stream.clear();
}
int main ()
{
  std::vector<std::thread> threads;
  for (int i=1; i<=10; ++i)
threads.push back(std::thread(append number,i));
  for (auto& th : threads) th.join();
  std::cout << stream.str();</pre>
  return 0;
```

Test and Set Locks in Java

```
public class AtomicBoolean {
  boolean value;
```

```
public synchronized boolean getAndSet(boolean newValue) {
   boolean prior = value;
   value = newValue;
   return prior;
}
```

AtomicBoolean lock

= new AtomicBoolean(false)

•••

boolean prior = lock.getAndSet(true)

Locking

- Lock is free: value is false
- Lock is taken: value is true
- Acquire lock by calling TAS
 - If result is false, you win
 - If result is true, you lose
- Release lock by writing false

```
class TASlock {
  AtomicBoolean state =
    new AtomicBoolean(false);
  void lock() {
    while (state.getAndSet(true)) {}
  }
  void unlock() {
    state.set(false);
  }}
```

```
class TASlock {
  AtomicBoolean state = new AtomicBoolean(false);
  void lock() {
    while (state.getAndSet(true)) {}
  }
  void unlock() {
    state.set(false);
  }}
  Lock state is atomic
  boolean
```

```
class TASlock {
  AtomicBoolean state =
    new AtomicBoolean(false);
  void lock() {
    while (state.getAndSet(true)) {}
  }
  void unlock() {
    state.set(false);
  }}
```

Keep trying until lock is acquired

```
class TASlock {
 AtomicBoolean state =
  new AtomicBoolean(false);
 void lock() {
  while (state.getAndSet(true)) {}
 }
 void unlock() {
  state.set(false);
 } }
                                  Release lock by
                               resetting state to false
```

- TAS is a spin-lock
- Uses constant space

• Performance:



 Each processor needs to invalidate cache lines if the value of the lock changes

- Lurking state:
 - Wait until lock looks free
 - Spin while read returns true (lock taken)
- Pouncing state
 - As soon as lock looks available
 - Read returns false (lock free)
 - Call TAS to acquire lock
 - If TAS fails, go back to lurking

```
class TTASlock {
  AtomicBoolean state = new AtomicBoolean(false);
  void lock() {
    while (true) {
        while (state.get()) {}
        Lurking
        if (!state.getAndSet(true))
            return;
    }
}
```

```
class TTASlock {
  AtomicBoolean state = new AtomicBoolean(false);
  void lock() {
   while (true) {
    while (state.get()) {}
    if (!state.getAndSet(true))
        return;
  }
}
```



state.get() does not interfere with other processors

```
class TTASlock {
  AtomicBoolean state = new AtomicBoolean(false);
  void lock() {
   while (true) {
    while (state.get()) {}
    if (!state.getAndSet(true))
      return;
  }
}
```









- Granularity
 - Caches operate with Cache Lines
 - fixed sized: 64B or 128B

- Cache coherence protocols
 - What happens if A updates something also kept in B's cache?
 - ullet

- Cache contents are in a state of MESI
- MESI
 - Modified: Have modified cached data, must write back to memory
 - Exclusive: Not modified, I have only copy
 - Shared: Not modified, may be cached elsewhere
 - Invalid: Cache contents not meaningful

TTAS Exponential Back-off

- Contention:
 - Multiple nodes try to acquire a lock at the same time
- Observation:
 - If in TTAS:
 - Lock is free
 - But TaS fails:
 - Probably high contention

TTAS Exponential Back-off

- Backoff:
 - Thread sleeps for some time
- Exponential backoff:
 - Known from network protocols to deal with channel contention
 - Increase backoff time by doubling up to a maximum delay
- In practice: Improves performance but improvement depends on the minimum and maximum delay times

TTAS Exponential Back-off

- Still causes cache coherence traffic:
 - All threads spin on the same shared location
- Underuses critical section:
 - Threads can delay longer than necessary
 - Critical section is then under-utilized

Queue Locks

- Let threads form a queue
 - Each thread can learn of its turn by checking predecessor
- Causes:
 - Less cache-coherence traffic as threads spin on different locations
 - Critical section better utilized
 - Provides first-come-first-served fairness

• Anderson Queue Lock



- To acquire the lock:
 - Each thread atomically increments the tail field
 - This gives it its slot









Another thread wants to acquire the lock





Advances the next-pointer to acquire its own slot



Spins until the flag variable at that slot becomes true



The first thread now releases the lock by setting the next slot to true

> One slot per thread

class ALock implements Lock {
 boolean[] flags={true,false,...,false};
 AtomicInteger next
 = new AtomicInteger(0);
 ThreadLocal<Integer> mySlot;

Next flag to use

class ALock implements Lock {
 boolean[] flags={true,false,...,false};
 AtomicInteger next
 = new AtomicInteger(0);

ThreadLocal<Integer> mySlot;

This is a threadlocal variable

```
public lock() {
  mySlot = next.getAndIncrement();
  while (!flags[mySlot % n]) {};
  flags[mySlot % n] = false;
}
```

```
public unlock() {
  flags[(mySlot+1) % n] = true;
}
```

Claim a slot by atomically incrementing the next field

```
public lock() {
  mySlot = next.getAndIncrement();
  while (!flags[mySlot % n]) {};
  flags[mySlot % n] = false;
}
```

```
public unlock() {
  flags[(mySlot+1) % n] = true;
}
```

Wait until predecessor has released the lock

```
public lock() {
  mySlot = next.getAndIncrement();
  while (!flags[mySlot % n]) {};
  flags[mySlot % n] = false;
}
```

```
public unlock() {
  flags[(mySlot+1) % n] = true;
}
```

Spin until predecessor has released the lock

```
public lock() {
  mySlot = next.getAndIncrement();
  while (!flags[mySlot % n]) {};
  flags[mySlot % n] = false;
}
```

```
public unlock() {
  flags[(mySlot+1) % n] = true;
}
```

Prepare slot for reuse

```
public lock() {
  mySlot = next.getAndIncrement();
  while (!flags[mySlot % n]) {};
  flags[mySlot % n] = false;
}
```

```
public unlock() {
  flags[(mySlot+1) % n] = true;
}
```

To release slot, set the slot after mine to True

- To avoid cache-coherence traffic:
 - Pad the array fields so that each array element is in its own cache-line
- Performance is then essentially flat!
- But:
 - Uses up a lot of space
 - Needs to know the maximum number of threads

- Craig, Hagersten, Landin
 - Still FCFS
 - Small, constant-size overhead per thread

- Thread status recorded in a QNode object
 - Contains Boolean locked field
 - Field true:
 - Thread has acquired the lock
 - Or: is waiting for the lock
 - Field false
 - Thread has released the lock
- Lock is a virtual linked list of QNode objects
 - List is virtual: Each thread points to its predecessor through a threadlocal pred-variable
 - Public tail points to the last node in the queue





• Thread wants to acquire the lock





• Thread sets the locked field of its QNode to true



- Thread applies Swap to the tail:
 - Makes its own node the tail of the queue
 - Acquires a reference to its predecessor's QNode



 Because the predecessor's QNode is false, this thread now has the lock



Another thread wants the lock does the same





 Its a virtual list because there are no real pointers between the nodes



- The second thread spins on the predecessors QNode
 - Until the predecessor releases the lock



In fact, it spins on the cached copy of the first thread's node













class QNode {
 AtomicBoolean locked =
 new AtomicBoolean(true);
}

```
class CLHLock implements Lock {
  AtomicReference<QNode> tail;
  ThreadLocal<QNode> myNode
        = new QNode();
  public void lock() {
    QNode pred
        = tail.getAndSet(myNode);
    while (pred.locked) {}
  }
}
```

class CLHLock implements Lock {
 AtomicReference<QNode> tail;
 ThreadLocal<QNode> myNode
 = new QNode();
 public void lock() {
 QNode pred
 = tail.getAndSet(myNode);
 while (pred.locked) {}
 }
}

Queue Tail

class CLHLock implements Lock {
 AtomicReference<QNode> tail;
 ThreadLocal<QNode> myNode
 = new QNode();
 public void lock() {
 QNode pred
 = tail.getAndSet(myNode);
 while (pred.locked) {}
 }
}

Thread-local QNode

```
class CLHLock implements Lock {
  AtomicReference<QNode> tail;
  ThreadLocal<QNode> myNode
        = new QNode();
  public void lock() {
    QNode pred
        = tail.getAndSet(myNode);
    while (pred.locked) {}
  }
}
```

Swap in my node

class CLHLock implements Lock {
 AtomicReference<QNode> tail;
 ThreadLocal<QNode> myNode
 = new QNode();
 public void lock() {
 QNode pred
 = tail.getAndSet(myNode);
 while (pred.locked) {}
}

Spin until predecessor is released

```
public void unlock() {
  myNode.locked.set(false);
  myNode = pred;
}
```

Notify successor

```
public void unlock() {
  myNode.locked.set(false);
  myNode = pred;
}
Recycle predecessor's node
```