Homework 4

Problem 1:

Show that the following operations of a simplified bank account application are not correct for multiple threads. Use the notation $r_i(x = 10)$ to denote the event of thread *i* reading variable *x* and obtaining the value 10 and $w_i(x = 10)$ for the event of thread *i* writing to variable x the value 10.

You will need to show a history of operations that results in bad values.

Problem 2:

Hyman thought he solved mutual exclusion and its problems were not recognized for decades. The algorithm is simple. There is an array of booleans want that expresses the desire of a thread to enter the critical section. There is also a variable turn that indicates which thread can enter the critical section. The simplified code is

```
want = [False, False]
turn = 0
def hyman():
    id = thread_id()  # am I thread 0 or thread 1
    want[id] = True
    while(turn != id):
        while want[1-id] == True:
            pass #waiting for the other
        turn = id
    #Critical Section
    want[id] = False
```

I left out the possibility to re-enter the critical section several times.

- (a) Write three different histories of Hyman's using the notation of Problem 1.
- (b) Give on history that shows that both threads can end up in the same section.

Hint for (b): Start out with the following history:

```
\begin{split} & w_1(\text{want}[1] = \text{True}) \\ & r_1(\text{turn} = 0) \\ & r_1(\text{want}[0] = \text{False}) \\ & w_0(\text{want}[0] = \text{True}) \\ & r_0(\text{turn} = 0) \\ & \text{Thread 0 enters the critical section and sleeps} \\ & \text{Thread 1 wakes up and now does what?} \end{split}
```