Distances in Graphs
Distance Algorithms

- Calculating distances in graphs
  - Single source - single destination
  - Single source - all destinations
  - All sources - all destinations

- Directed Graphs
- Undirected Graphs
Distance Algorithms

- Graph has only positive weights
- Graph can have negative weights
  - but not a negative cycle
Distance Algorithms

• Negative cycle example:
  • What is the distance from a to f
Distance Algorithms

- a-b-d-f costs 3
- a-b-c-d-f costs 0
- a-b-c-d-e-d-e-b-d-f costs -2
- and a few more times around the cycle costs even less
Distance Algorithms

• Single Source Algorithms:

• Relaxation
  
  • Fundamental approach to maintain estimates for distances
  
  • Assume for each vertex, we have an upper bound for the distance from the source
  
  • Relaxation then improves the distance bound towards the true value
Distance Algorithms

- Example

- Path from s to u with total weight <= 12
- Path from s to v with total weight <= 15
- Path from s to v via u with total weight <= 15
Distance Algorithms

- Relaxation:
  - $u \cdot d$ distance bound for distance between $s$ and $u$
  - Let $(u, v) \in E$. We relax along $(u, v)$ by setting
    - $v \cdot d \leftarrow \min (v \cdot d, u \cdot d + w(u, v))$
Distance Algorithms

- Relaxation:
  - In addition, we can maintain a predecessor field at all nodes
  - Because we do not only want the distance, but also how we got there
  - When we relax, we set the predecessor field in $v$ to $u$ if we replace $v \cdot d$ with $u \cdot d + w(u, v)$ because the latter is smaller
Bellman-Ford

• Bellman-Ford Single Source Distance Algorithm
  • We initialize by:
    • Source $s$ gets distance 0 and itself as predecessor
    • Every node $u$ adjacent to $s$ gets distance $w(s, u)$ and predecessor
Bellman-Ford

• Best path from source \( s \) to node \( u \) cannot have more than \( |V| - 1 \) edges in it

• So, we relax with every edge a total of \( |V| - 1 \) times

• If we can relax afterwards, something is fishy:
  • We have found evidence for a negative cycle
Bellman-Ford

- def Bellman_Ford(s, V, E):
  - initialize(s,V,E)
  - for i in range(len(V)-1):
    - for (u,v) in E:
      - relax(u,v)
  - for (u,v) in E:
    - if relax(u,v) changes the distance in v:
      - return 'negative weight cycle detected'
  - return 'done'
Bellman-Ford

- Example: After initialization
Bellman-Ford
Bellman-Ford

Relax here

![Bellman-Ford algorithm graph](image-url)
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Graph with edges labeled with weights:
- s -> a: 2
- a -> d: 5
- d -> e: 7
- e -> g: 3
- b -> e: 2
- b -> f: 8
- c -> f: 3
- c -> g: 4
- s -> c: 4
- s -> b: 3
- b -> a: 6
- a -> c: 7
- a -> f: 10
- e -> s: -5
- e -> b: -7
- c -> b: -7
- b -> s: 12
- a -> s: 2
- d -> s: -5
- e -> d: 2
- f -> g: 3
- f -> c: 4

Relax here point is indicated on the graph.
Bellman-Ford
Bellman-Ford
Bellman-Ford

A graph with labeled edges and vertices, showing the path with relaxed edges.
Bellman-Ford

Given a weighted directed graph, the Bellman-Ford algorithm finds the shortest path from a source vertex to all other vertices.

The algorithm works by relaxing all edges |V| - 1 times, where |V| is the number of vertices in the graph. If after |V| - 1 iterations, there are still edges that can be relaxed, it indicates the presence of a negative weight cycle.

The diagram above represents a graph with vertices labeled 's', 'a', 'b', 'c', 'd', 'e', 'f', and 'g'. The edges are labeled with their respective weights.

Relax Here
Bellman-Ford
Bellman-Ford
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- Now, we can start again
Bellman-Ford
Bellman-Ford

Relax Here
Bellman-Ford

Diagram of a weighted graph with labeled edges.
Bellman-Ford
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Graph:
- Nodes: s, a, b, c, d, e, f, g
- Edges with weights:
  - s → a: 2
  - a → b: 3
  - b → c: 4
  - c → s: 4
  - c → f: 8
  - f → g: 3
  - e → f: 2
  - e → g: -7
  - d → e: -5
  - d → a: 5
  - a → d: 7
  - b → a: 6

"Relax Here" marker for edge s → b.
Bellman-Ford
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- The second round, nothing changed
  - This was an easy example, after all
- We can therefore shortcut the rest, decide that there are no cycles, and finish
Bellman-Ford

- Now, let's create a negative weight cycle b-d-e-f-b
Bellman-Ford

- The first time around, we would get something like this
- Your mileage will vary because of choices in the ordering of edges
Bellman-Ford

- If we relax along d-e, we get
Bellman-Ford

- Then we can relax along e-f
Bellman-Ford

• Then along f-b
Bellman-Ford

- After we relax with (b,d), we see that the predecessor graph no longer reaches s. We also can see that every time we relax with the edges in the graph, we lower distances,
DAG's

• If we have a directed acyclic graph:
  • We can use topological sort of vertices:
    \[ U = [u_1, u_2, u_3, \ldots, u_n] \]
  • We then execute
    • for \( u \) in \( U \):
      • for \( v \) in \( u \).adjacency:
        • relax with \((u, v)\)
  • This is a very fast algorithm for DAG's only
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Single source all destinations algorithms that only assumes that weights are all positive
- Also uses a Greedy strategy
- Builds a subset S of nodes for which the exact distance is known
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• Example:
  • Dijkstra starts with just the source in $S$
  • We initialize all nodes (but do not write infinities here)
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Dijkstra then adds the node $u$ with the smallest distance to $S$ and then uses the edges adjacent to $u$ to relax
- Add $a$ and relax $(a,b)$, $(a,d)$, and $(a,e)$
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• The current distances from s are 2, 3, 4, 7, and 13
• We pick b and relax along its outgoing edges
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Now c and d is the lowest distance node, pick c
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• Now pick d
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Then e
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- g
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- And finally f
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• To find the best way from s to g, we just start in g and follow the predecessor link

• g ← e ← d ← b ← s
Dijkstra's Algorithm

def dijkstra(s, V, E):
    initialize(s, V, E)
    S = []
pq = priorityqueue(V)
while pq:
    u = pq.pop
    S.append(u)
    for v in u.adjacent:
        relax(u, v)  # changes possibly v.d
        # and therefore pq
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• Correctness of Dijkstra's algorithm
  • Loop invariant for while loop:
    • All nodes in S have the correct distance from s
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• Initially, the loop invariant is vacuously true

• Now we need to show that each iteration of the while loop leaves the invariant valid

  • Assume that this is not true

  • And that we went wrong when $u$ was selected and put into $S$
Dijkstra's Algorithm
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- We can exclude the case that $s = u$ so $S \neq \emptyset$
- We can also exclude the case that there is no path between $s$ and $u$
  - Because then the distance field in $u$ would never be updated and stay at infinity, which is the correct value
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• So, there is a path from $s$ to $u$ that leads from $S$ to outside of $S$.

• Let $x$ be the last vertex on this path so that the part from $s$ to $x$ is completely in $S$ and $y$ the first node not in $S$. 
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• By the invariant, \( x \in S \) has the correct distance field with \( x . d = \delta(s, x) \)

• Because \( x \in S \), we relaxed along the edge \((x, y)\)

  • Because \( x \) and \( y \) are on a shortest path from \( s \) to \( u \), the shortest path from \( s \) to \( y \) also goes through \( x \)

  • Therefore, \( y . d = x . d + w(x, y) = \delta(x, s) + w(x, y) \) at this time

• But then this value can no longer change, so it is still true
Dijkstra's Algorithm

• Now, we selected $u$ before $y$
  • This implies $u \cdot d \leq y \cdot d$
  • But because $y$ is on the shortest path to $u$
  • $y \cdot d = \delta(s, y) \leq \delta(s, u) \leq u \cdot d \leq u \cdot d$
  • Which implies that $\delta(s, y) = \delta(s, u)$ and $y = u$ (because all weights are positive)
  • But we have already seen that $y \cdot d = u \cdot d$ was set correctly
  • This contradiction proves correctness
Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Dijkstra's algorithm runs in time dependent on the implementation of the priority queue
- We update the priority queue potentially for each edge analyzed, which is all of them
  - Easiest implementation uses time quadratic in the number of vertices
- This gives a total runtime quadratic in the number of vertices because $|E| = O(|V|^2)$
Floyd's and Warshal's Algorithm

- Dynamic programming approach to the all sources - all destinations shortest path problem

- What is the shortest path from $u$ to $v$ going through nodes in \{ $v_1$, $v_2$, $\ldots$, $v_k$ \} as intermediaries?

  - Call its length $\delta_k(u, v)$

  - Two cases: $v_k$ is not on the shortest path:
    - $\delta_k(u, v) = \delta_{k-1}(u, v)$

  - Or: $v_k$ is on the shortest path
    - $\delta_k(u, v) = \delta_{k-1}(u, u_k) + \delta_{k-1}(u_k, v)$
Floyd's and Warshal's Algorithm

- Start out with
  \[ \delta_0(u, v) = \begin{cases} 
  w(u, v) & \text{if there is an edge between } u \text{ and } v \\
  \infty & \text{if there is no edge} 
  \end{cases} \]

- Calculate
  \[ \delta_k(u, v) = \min(\delta_{k-1}(u, v), \delta_{k-1}(u, u_k) + \delta_{k-1}(u_k, v)) \]

- If \( k = |V| \), then \( \delta_k(u, v) = \delta(u, v) \)

- To reconstruct shortest path, give way points.
  - If \( u_k \) is an intermediate node, then put \( u_k \) down for the connection \((u, v)\)
Floyd's and Warshall's Algorithm

- We can use a single matrix $D$ for the distance calculation
- Initially, $D$ contains the weights and $\Pi$ only nones

$$D = W$$

```python
for k in {1 \ldots n}:
    for i in {1 \ldots n}:
        for j in {1 \ldots n}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j])
```
Floyd's and Warshal's Algorithm

- Example:

Set-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

```
1
2
3
4
5
```
Floyd's and Warshal's Algorithm

- Example:

```
for k in {1 \ldots n}:
    for i in {1 \ldots n}:
        for j in {1 \ldots n}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j])
```
Floyd's and Warshall's Algorithm

• Example:

```
for k in {1 ... n}:
    for i in {1 ... n}:
        for j in {1 ... n}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j]
```

```
1  2  3  4  5
1  0  2  5  10  15
2  0  3  8
3  0  4  7
4  0  5
5  2  5  10  0
```

```
1  2  3  4  5
1  2  2
2
3
4
5
```

```
1  2  3  4  5
1  2  2
2
3
4
5
```
Floyd's and Warshall's Algorithm

• Example:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
1 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 9 & 15 \\
2 & 0 & 3 & 7 & 10 & \\
3 & 0 & 4 & 7 & \\
4 & 0 & 5 & \\
5 & 2 & 5 & 10 & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
1 & 2 & 3 & 3 & \\
2 & 3 & 3 & \\
3 & \\
4 & \\
5 & 2 & 2 & \\
\end{array}
\]

for k in \{1 \ldots n\}:
    for i in \{1 \ldots n\}:
        for j in \{1 \ldots n\}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j]
Floyd's and Warshal's Algorithm

- Example:

\[
\begin{array}{c|ccccc}
  & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
\hline
1 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 9 & 12 \\
2 & 0 & 3 & 7 & 10 & \\
3 & 0 & 4 & 7 & & \\
4 & 0 & 5 & & & \\
5 & 2 & 5 & 10 & 0 & \\
\end{array}
\]

for \( k \) in \{1 \ldots n\}:
  for \( i \) in \{1 \ldots n\}:
    for \( j \) in \{1 \ldots n\}:
      \[ d[i,j] = \min(d[i,j], d[i,k] + d[k,j]) \]
Floyd's and Warshal's Algorithm

- Example:

```
for k in {1 ... n}:
    for i in {1 ... n}:
        for j in {1 ... n}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j])
```
Floyd's and Warshall's Algorithm

- Example:

```
for k in {1 … n}:
    for i in {1 … n}:
        for j in {1 … n}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j])
```

Going from 1 to 5:
- D matrix: 12
- Π matrix: go through 3
- 1 to 3: go through 2
- 3 to 5: direct

```
1  2  3  4  5
1 | 0  2  5  9 12
2 | 0  3  7 10
3 | 9  0  4  7
4 | 7 10  0  5
5 | 2  5  9  0
```

```
1  2  3  4  5
1 | 2  3  3
2 | 3  3
3 | 5
4 | 5  5
5 | 2  2
```
Floyd's and Warshall's Algorithm

- Example:

```
for k in {1 ... n}:
    for i in {1 ... n}:
        for j in {1 ... n}:
            d[i,j] = min(d[i,j], d[i,k]+d[k,j])
```

Going from 5 to 4:
D matrix: 9
Π matrix: go through 2
5 to 2: direct
2 to 4: go through 3
2 to 3: direct
3 to 4: direct
route is 5-2-3-4