Overview

- A generic recipe for computation
  - Finite sequence of instructions
    - to solve a computational problem
- Should work on broad category of computers
  - E.g. Algorithms for quantum computers, biological computers are / would be different

- Correctness
  - Is the algorithm *guaranteed* to give the correct result
  - Is the algorithm guaranteed to give the correct result using resources
- Performance
  - Measured in resource use:
    - Time
    - Storage / memory
    - Energy use
    - Overwrites in an SSD, ...

#### Standard Model of Computing

- What is presented to the programmer:
  - Computer reads instructions from memory
  - Computer acts on instructions by changing memory locations
    - Example: addi x, 5
      - Load x into accumulator, load 5 into a register, add results, move accumulator results back into memory where x is located

- Instructions do not take the same amount of time
  - Almost since the beginning of computer architecture
  - Idealization: Fetch-Execute Cycle with fixed timing
- Instructions are not performed serially
  - Pipelining of instructions
  - Reordering of instructions by compiler or architecture

- Memory access is not uniform
  - Early modification: Virtual Memory

- Modern modification:
  - Registers
  - Cache Level 1
  - Cache Level 2
  - Cache Level 3
  - Main Memory (DRAM)
  - Storage
    - Buffer Cache HDD block / SSD page

- Multi-threaded (e.g. multi-core) :
  - Many instructions & access to variables are not threadsafe
    - E.g.: Can only argue that a flag is either set or not if the flag is "atomic" (with software and hardware support)
  - Multi-core architecture manages to prevent a processor from having a different view of memory than another processor
    - But this is getting more and more difficult

- Storage and Memory systems prioritize reads over writes
- In case of failure, bad things can happen:
  - Can store a block
  - Read from this block
  - Power failure
  - Read from the block:
    - Value has changed

#### Standard Model of Computing

- Contract between system and programmer:
  - System does what programmer wants, but in a different faster way
  - With a few exceptions, which makes multi-threaded computing so challenging

#### Standard Model of Computing

- Turns out that the optimizations of modern computing systems **do not** create genuine new capabilities
- We can *emulate* a modern system using an old one
- We can even *emulate* a modern system using a model of computing used in the 30s and 40s to model what Mathematics can compute:
  - Turing machine

### **DNA Computing**

- DNA can store vast amounts of information in a very small space.
  - Store data (key-value pair) by encoding in DNA subsequences
  - To look up by key:
    - Introduce the compliment of the key's substring affixed to a magnetic bead
    - Compliment bonds to DNA molecules with that key
    - Extract these DNA molecules magnetically
    - Sequence them for the result
- Does not change the basic capabilities

#### Quantum Computing

- Uses quantum phenomena for computing
  - Especially super-position and entanglement
  - Can be analog or digital
  - Digital quantum computing uses quantum gates
  - Difficulty now is getting up the number of q-bits in a system
- Could be faster than classical computers
  - Example: Shor's algorithm for factoring integers, Boson sampling
- Will almost certainly force current cryptography to use much larger keys

#### Quantum Computing

- Does not seem to change what is computable
- Changes possibly dramatically the speed at which things can be computed

- Algorithms  $\neq$  Implementation
  - An algorithm can be implemented more or less efficiently

- You can measure the speed of an implementation on a given system fairly accurately
- You can derive the performance of an algorithm using a computing model

- Correctness
  - Can we prove that the answer given by an algorithm is correct?
    - via Automated proof methods
    - via human reasoning
  - Often involves pseudo-code

- Performance
  - Needs to be measured independently of implementation
  - Depends on the "instance size"
    - Many problems in CS become proportionally <u>more</u> <u>difficult</u> as they grow
    - Use an "asymptotic" notation to capture behavior as we "scale up"

#### Performance

- Computing uses resources
  - Space: How much storage is needed
  - Time: How many instructions are needed
- But it becomes more interesting:
  - Some problems need to use storage (flash / disks)
    - Storage is much slower
    - Performance measurement: How many times does the algorithm need to access storage

#### Performance

- Parallel / Multi-threaded performance
  - Almost all computers have limited capability to execute instructions in parallel
  - E.g.: Develop data structures that are
    - thread-safe
    - lock-free (no locking of shared resources needed)
    - wait-free (no waiting for a thread to access a data structure)

#### Impossibility Results

- Can all problems be solved with a computer
  - Depends on the type of computer, but:
    - In a very generic computing model, there are problems that cannot be solved

#### Impossibility Results

- Are there problems that can become prohibitively expensive?
- Answer: Probably yes. There are classes of problems that become intractable as they scale up

# Outlay of Class

- Goal:
  - You are to develop the capability to argue about the
    - correctness
    - performance
    - of algorithms and data structures
  - You are to develop the capability to invent simple algorithms and data structures
  - You are to develop the capability to implement algorithms and data structures

# Outlay of Class

- Contents:
  - Finite automata and regular expressions
  - Recurrence, asymptotic comparisons, and divide-andconquer problems
  - Fast Data Structures
  - Dynamic and greedy programming
  - Graph Algorithms
  - Limits of Computability
  - Complexity Classes

# Introduction to Performance

- Type 1: All operations take the same time
- Type 2: Only count certain operations
- Type 3: Count how often the instructions in the body of a loop are executed

#### • Example 1:

```
def bubble_sort(an_ar):
for i in range(len(an_ar)):
    for j in range(i+1, len(an_ar)):
        if an_ar[i] > an_ar[j]:
             an_ar[i], an_ar[j] = an_ar[j], an_ar[i]
```

• Identify the inner loop

```
def bubble_sort(an_ar):
for i in range(len(an_ar)):
    for j in range(i+1, len(an_ar)):
        if an_ar[i] > an_ar[j]:
             an_ar[i], an_ar[j] = an_ar[j], an_ar[i]
```

Count the number of times the inner loop is executed

• Let *n* be the number of elements in the array

- Let *n* be the number of elements in the array
  - For i = 0: for j in range(1, n):
    - n-1 repetitions

- Let *n* be the number of elements in the array
  - For i = 0: n 1 repetitions
  - For i = 1: n 2 repetitions
  - ...
  - For i = n 1: 0 repetitions

• Let *n* be the number of elements in the array

• 
$$(n-1) + (n-2) + ... + 2 + 1 + 0$$
 repetitions

• i.e. 
$$\frac{(n-1)\cdot n}{2}$$
 repetitions

- Often, the input determines the number of operations
- Example: Quicksort
  - Recursive operations based on
    - select a random pivot
    - partition array around random pivot
    - quick-sort each partition
    - If partition are very small, use another sorting algorithms

- Partition cost: *n* comparisons for *n* elements in array
- Best Case:
  - Pivots are always chosen to divide the array evenly
  - ((1+1+1)+1+(1+1+1))+1+((1+1+1)+1+(1+1+1))
  - Ideal array size is  $2^{n+1} 1$  with *n* steps
  - If elements are distinct:
    - Only one pivot
    - At each step, we have to partition all elements that were not pivot previously

- Quicksort Best Case Performance:
  - $2^{n+1} 1$  array elements with *n* steps
  - First step: Compare pivot with  $2^{n+1} 2$  elements
  - Second step: Previous pivot is no longer compared
    - Compares two pivots with a total of 2<sup>n+1</sup> 3 elements
  - Third step: Previous pivots are no longer used
    - Compare a total of four pivots with a total of  $2^{n+1} 7$

- Quicksort Best Case Performance:
  - $2^{n+1} 1$  array elements with *n* steps
  - Total number of comparisons:

• 
$$n \cdot (2^{n+1} - 1) - (1 + 2 + 4 + \dots + 2^{n-1})$$
  
=  $2^{n-1}(4n - 1) - n$ 

- $N = 2^{n+1} 1 \Longrightarrow n = \log_2(N+1) 1$
- Total number of comparisons is

• 
$$1 - \log_2(N) + \frac{1}{4}(1 + N)(4(\log_2(N + 1) - 1))$$

- Quicksort Worst Case:
  - Pivot is always the smallest element
  - If there are N elements in the array:
    - N 1 rounds reducing the array by one element each time

• 
$$(N-1) + (N-2) + \dots 1 = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$$

comparisons