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## Topological Sort

- Recall topological sort
- We are given a directed graph
- Want to order all vertices such that no edge goes from a higher-numbered vertex to a lower-numbered vertex
- If this is impossible, then we have a cycle
- So, our algorithm also detects whether there is a cycle in a directed graph
- We use DFS for an even better algorithm


## Topological Sort

- Run DFS on all nodes
- Order nodes according to finish time in descending order


## Topological Sort

- Start in A
- Order adjacency lists alphabetically

```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A,G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```


## Topological Sort

- Visit B

```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A, G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```


visit(B)
visit(A)

## Topological Sort

- Visit H


```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A,G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```

visit(H)
visit(B)
visit(A)

## Topological Sort

- Visit E


```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A,G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```

visit(E)
visit(H)
visit(B)
visit(A)

## Topological Sort

- Visit F


```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A,G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```

visit(F)<br>visit(E)<br>visit(H)<br>visit(B)<br>visit(A)

## Topological Sort

- Visit I


```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A,G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```

```
visit(I)
visit(F)
visit(E)
visit(H)
visit(B)
visit(A)
```


## Topological Sort

- Visit D


```
A: B,C
B: H
C: E,F,H
D: A, G
E: F
F: I
G: C
H:
I: D
```

```
visit(D)
visit(I)
visit(F)
visit(E)
visit(H)
visit(B)
visit(A)
```


## Topological Sort

- At this point:
- The adjacency list of $D$ starts with $A$
- A is gray
- This edge becomes a back edge!
- And shows that there is a cycle

$A: B, C$
$B: H$
$C: E, F, H$
$D: A, G$
$E:$
$F$
$F:$
$I$
$G:$
$H$
$H$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { visit(D) } \\
& \text { visit(I) } \\
& \text { visit(F) } \\
& \text { visit(E) } \\
& \text { visit(H) } \\
& \text { visit(B) } \\
& \text { visit(A) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Topological Sort

- A different example
- Start in A


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- A different example
- Start in A


[^0]visit(A)

## Topological Sort

- Visit B

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```



[^1]
## Topological Sort

- Visit H

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
    H: F
```



## Topological Sort

- Visit F

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
    C: F
    D: B,H
    E: C,D,G
    F:
    G: B
    H: F
```



## Topological Sort

- Finish F
- Push F at front: [F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```



## Topological Sort

- Finish H
- Push H at front: [H, F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```



## Topological Sort

- Finish B
- Push B at front: $[\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}]$

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Go back to visit A
- $[B, H, F]$


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Visit D
- $[B, H, F]$


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Visit C
- $[B, H, F]$

A: $B, D, G$
B: H
C: F
D: B, H
E: C, D, G
F:
G: B
H: F


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { visit(C) } \\
& \text { visit(D) } \\
& \text { visit(A) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Topological Sort

- Finish C
- [C, B,H, F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
    H: F
```



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { visit(C) } \\
& \text { visit(D) } \\
& \text { visit(A) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Topological Sort

- Go back and finish D
- [D, C, B, H, F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { visit(D) } \\
& \text { visit(A) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Topological Sort

- We are back to visit A
- Next node is G
- [D, C, B, H, F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Visit G
- [D, C, B, H, F]


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Finish G
- [G, D, C, B, H, F]


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Go back to A
- [G, D, C, B, H, F]
$A: B, D, G$
B: H
C: F
D: B, H
E: C, D, G
F:
G: B
H: F

visit(A)


## Topological Sort

- Finish A
- [A, G, D, C, B, H, F]


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Done with visit(A)
- [A, G, D, C, B, H, F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```



## Topological Sort

- One white node left: E
- Visit E
- [A, G, D, C, B, H, F]

```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


visit(E)

## Topological Sort

- Finish E
- [E, A, G, D, C, B, H, F]


```
A: B,D,G
B: H
C: F
D: B,H
E: C,D,G
F:
G: B
H: F
```


## Topological Sort

- Key observation from the examples:
- We have a cycle if we ever try to visit a gray node


## Topological Sort

- Lemma: A directed graph $G=(V, E)$ is acyclic if and only if a DFS of $G$ yields no back edges


## Topological Sort

- Proof: " $=$ "
- If DFS produces a back-edge $(v, u)$ then $u$ is an ancestor of $v$
- There is a path from $u$ to $v$ in the tree
- The edge $(v, u)$ closes a cycle
- from $u$ to $v$ back to $u$



## Topological Sort

- Proof: " $\Leftarrow$ "
- Suppose $G$ has a cycle
- Let $u$ be the first vertex in the cycle to be discovered



## Topological Sort

- All other vertices in the cycle are white and there is a white-path to the node $v$ just in front of $u$



## Topological Sort

- By the white-path theorem:
- We will discover $v$ from $u$
- (Though not necessarily through the cycle since there might be more cycles)
- Thus, $(v, u)$ is a back edge



## Topological Sort

- Theorem: DFS gives a topological sort or discovers a cycle
- Proof:
- Need to show:
- If DFS does not discover a cycle, then for each edge $(u, v)$, we have $u . f>v . f$


## Topological Sort

- Proof:
- At the time that we are first looking at $(u, v)$ :
- $v$ cannot be gray, because then we would have a back-edge


## Topological Sort

- At the time that we are first looking at $(u, v)$ :
- If $v$ is white:
- Then by the white path theorem, $u$ becomes an ancestor of $v$
- By the parenthesis theorem v.f<u.f


## Topological Sort

- Proof:
- At the time that we are first looking at $(u, v)$ :
- If $v$ is black, then $u$ is still be visited, so
- $u$ is not yet black
- so, u.f>v.f
- qed


## Strongly Connected Components

- WWW graph:
- Nodes: pages
- Edges: links from one page to another page
- Broder et al. study (2000): 200 million pages and 1.5 billion links



## Strongly Connected Components

- Bowtie:
- Strongly connected component at the center of the WWW (28\%) of all nodes



# Strongly Connected Components 

- Islands: Isolated areas of the web



## Strongly Connected Components

- In: Possible to reach the giant
- Out: Reachable from the giant
tubes



## Strongly Connected Components

- Weird stuff: Tubes that move from In to Out bypassing the giant



# Strongly Connected Components 

- Weird stuff: Tendrils to In and tendrils to Out



## Strongly Connected Components

- Strongly connected component:
- Can reach any vertex from any other vertex



## Strongly Connected Components

- Strongly connected component
- This is NOT strongly connected

- There is no way to get from $D$ to $A$


## Strongly Connected Components

- Lemma: Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two strongly connected subgraphs of a graph $G$ and assume that there is a path from a vertex in $G_{1}$ to a vertex in $G_{2}$ and also a path from a vertex of $G_{2}$ to $G_{1}$, then $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ is strongly connected



## Strongly Connected Components



- Proof: Take two nodes $a$ and $b$ in $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$.
- If both are in $G_{1}$ then there is a path between $a$ and $b$ because they are in $G_{1}$


## Strongly Connected Components



- Proof: Take two nodes $a$ and $b$ in $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$.
- If both are in $G_{2}$ then there is a path between $a$ and $b$ because they are in $G_{2}$


## Strongly Connected Components



- If $a \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$, then we can move from $a$ to $u$ and from $u$ to $v$ and then from $v$ to $b$.
- After removing cycles, this is now a path from $a$ to $b$


## Strongly Connected Components



- Similarly, if $a \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $b \in V\left(G_{1}\right)$, then we can move from $a$ to $v^{\prime}$ and from $v^{\prime}$ to $u^{\prime}$ and then from $u^{\prime}$ to $b$.
- After removing cycles, this is now a path from $a$ to $b$


## Strongly Connected Components

- A single node is a strongly connected subgraph
- For each strongly connected subgraph, we can try to grow by adding other nodes
- If a node a has a path to and from a strongly connected subgraph, then by the lemma, we can add the node and get a bigger strongly connected subgraph



## Strongly Connected Components

- Strongly connected component : A maximal strongly connected subgraph
- The nodes of any directed graph can be divided into strongly connected components


## Strongly Connected Components

- Example:



## Strongly Connected Components

- Try it out by growing from individual nodes



## Strongly Connected Components

- Result:



## Strongly Connected Components

- If we only look at the connected components we get the SCC metagraph
- Nodes are the strongly connected components
- Edges represent the existence of an edge from one component to the next


## Strongly Connected Components



## Strongly Connected Components

- The resulting metagraph has to be acyclic
- If there is a cycle in the metagraph, then by the lemma, the metanodes can be merged into bigger strongly connected subgraphs


## Strongly Connected Components

- Example: Add two edges



## Strongly Connected Components

- Now we can start merging via the Lemma
- There is a path from components $S$ to $R$ and vice versa



## Strongly Connected Components

- So we merge



## Strongly Connected Components

- There is a path from RS to $X$ and vice versa:



## Strongly Connected Components

- We can merge



## Strongly Connected Components

- Finally, we can merge $Z$ with the new supernode


Z

## Strongly Connected Components

- This can be generalized:
- Theorem: The metagraph is acyclic


## Strongly Connected Components

- How can we apply DFS to the problem of determining connected components?
- The WWW graph in 2000 would have been to big for anything but linear time algorithms


## Strongly Connected Components

- Answer:
- Use DFS several times
- Including indirectly on the metagraph


## Strongly Connected Components

## Strongly Connected Components


[^0]:    A: $B, D, G$
    B: H
    C: F
    D: B, H
    E: C, D, G
    F:
    G: B

[^1]:    visit(B)
    visit(A)

