## Last Homework Solutions

## Problem 1:

```
INSERT INTO
locations(location id,street address,postal code,city,state province,c
ountry id)
VALUES (3000, '100 Avda Leonardo Da Vinci',NULL,'Punta del
Este','Maldonado','UR');
INSERT INTO departments(department_id, department_name,location_id)
VALUES (12, 'AppDev', 3000);
INSERT INTO jobs(job_id,job_title,min_salary,max_salary)
VALUES (20,'CIO',5000.00,10000.00);
INSERT INTO
employees(employee_id,first_name,last_name,email,phone_number,hire_dat
e,job_id,salary,mañager_id,\overline{department_id)}
VALUES
(207,'Leonardo','Lima','Leonardo.Lima@mu.com',NULL,'2024-06-01', 20,750
0.00,100,12);
INSERT INTO
employees(employee_id,first_name,last_name,email,phone_number,
hire_date,job_id,salary,manager_id,department_id)
VALUES
(208,'Julio','Tejera','Julio.Tejeraa@mu.com',NULL,'2024-06-01', 9,5000.
00,207,12);
INSERT INTO
employees(employee_id,first_name,last_name,email,phone_number,hire
date,job_id,salary,manager_id,department_id)
VALUES
(209,'Marcos','Robello','Marcos.Robello@mu.com',NULL,'2024-06-01', 3,40
00.00,207,12);
```


## Problem 2:

SELECT AVG(salary) AS average
FROM employees JOIN jobs USING (job_id)
WHERE job title = 'programmer'
GROUP BY job_title;

| Result Grid | 目 |
| :---: | :---: |
| AVG $(\ldots$ |  |
| $>5633 \ldots$ |  |
|  |  |

## Problem 3:

SELECT job title AS 'Title', MAX(salary) AS 'maximum salary' FROM employees JOIN jobs USING (job_id) GROUP BY job_title;

| Result Grid 瞃 $\uparrow$ ( Filter Rows: | Q Search | Exp |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Title | maximum salary |  |
| riesiueit | <4uvu.uv |  |
| Administration Vice President | 17000.00 |  |
| Accountant | 9000.00 |  |
| Finance Manager | 12000.00 |  |
| Human Resources Representative | 6500.00 |  |
| Programmer | 9000.00 |  |
| Marketing Manager | 13000.00 |  |
| Marketing Representative | 6000.00 |  |
| Public Relations Representative | 10000.00 |  |
| Purchasing Clerk | 3100.00 |  |
| Purchasing Manager | 11000.00 |  |
| Sales Manager | 14000.00 |  |
| Sales Representative | 8600.00 |  |
| Shipping Clerk | 4000.00 |  |

## Problem 4:

```
SELECT e.first_name, e.last_name, count
FROM employees e JOIN
            (SELECT employee id, COUNT(*) AS count FROM
    dependents JOIN employees USING(employee_id)
    GROUP BY employee_id) AS ct
    USING (employee_id)
ORDER BY count DES\overline{C}
LIMIT 1;
```


## Problem 5:

|  | first_name | last_name | count |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Leonardo | Lima | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |
| d USING |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

SELECT
e.department_id, department_name, MAX(salary)
FROM employees e INNER JOIN departments d USING( department_id)
GROUP BY
e.department_id

HAVING
MAX(salary) <= 8000
department... department_name MAX(salary)
ORDER BY
MAX(salary);

| -1 | Administration | 4400.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4 | Human Resources | 6500.00 |
| 12 | AppDev | 7500.00 |

## Problem 6:

```
CREATE DEFINER=`root`@`localhost` PROCEDURE `average
salary`(my_department_name VARCHAR(30) )
BEGIN
SELECT AVG(e.salary)
FROM employees e JOIN departments d USING (department_id)
WHERE d.department_name = my_department_name
```

```
GROUP BY department_id;
```

END

## Problem 7:

```
CREATE DEFINER=`root`@`localhost` FUNCTION `importance`(manager
VARCHAR(30)) RETURNS int
    READS SQL DATA
BEGIN
DECLARE RETVAL INTEGER;
SELECT SUM(e.salary)
INTO RETVAL
FROM employees e JOIN employees manager ON e.manager_id =
manager.employee_id
WHERE e.last_name}= manager
GROUP BY e.employee_id;
RETURN RETVAL;
END
```

Result Grid 目目 TV Filter Rows:

HR.importance('Lima')

- 7500


## Problem 8:

$\{A\}^{+}=\{A\}$
$\{B\}^{+}=\{B\}$
$\{C\}^{+}=\{C\}$
$\{D\}^{+}=\{D\}$
$\{E\}^{+}=\{E\}$
$\{F\}^{+}=\{F, A, B, C, E\}$
$\{A, B\}^{+}=\{A, B, C, E\}$
$\{A, C\}^{+}=\{A, C\}$
$\{A, D\}^{+}=\{A, D\}$
$\{A, E\}^{+}=\{A, E\}$
$\{A, F\}^{+}=\{A, F, B, C, E\}$
$\{B, C\}^{+}=\{B, C\}$
$\{B, D\}^{+}=\{B, D\}$
$\{B, E\}^{+}=\{B, E\}$
$\{B, F\}^{+}=\{B, F, A, E\}$
$\{C, D\}^{+}=\{C, D, E\}$
$\{C, E\}^{+}=\{C, E\}$
$\{C, F\}^{+}=\{C, F, A, B, E\}$
$\{D, E\}^{+}=\{D, E\}$
$\{D, F\}^{+}=\{D, F, A, B, C, E\} \quad$ key
$\{E, F\}^{+}=\{E, F, A, B, C\}$.

## Problem 9:

To be in Boyce-Codd normal form, each FD needs to have a super-key on the right side. Since $A, B \nrightarrow E,\{A, B\}$ is definitely not a super-key and the first FD $A, B \rightarrow C$ violates the BoyceCodd condition. We can add to the FD and obtain a new FD $A, B \rightarrow C, D$, which combines the first and second original FD. We then apply the decomposition algorithm (Algorithm 3.20) . Since

$$
\{A, B\}^{+}=\{A, B, C, D\}
$$

we create a new table

$$
R_{1}(A, B, C, D)
$$

with projected FDs $A, B \rightarrow C, D$, showing that $A, B$ is a key. This table is in BCNF.
The second relation consists of the left side of the violating FC and the other attributes, i.e.

$$
R_{2}(A, B, E)
$$

This relation has no FDs! In fact, the third original FD $E \rightarrow D$ is no longer reconstructable from the decomposition. This is nothing unusual, though of course regrettable. As $R_{2}$ does not have any more FDs, it is by default in BCNF.

## Problem 10:

Since the writes to page $x$ are done by transactions 2,3 , and 1 in this order, we try to use commutativity rules to have first all operations by transaction 2 , then by 3 , and then by 1 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{1}(x) r_{2}(x) w_{2}(x) r_{3}(x) w_{1}(y) w_{3}(x) w_{1}(x) \\
\sim & r_{2}(x) r_{1}(x) w_{2}(x) r_{3}(x) w_{1}(y) w_{3}(x) w_{1}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

but now we are stuck because we cannot commute $r_{1}(x)$ with $w_{2}(x)$, as transaction 1 reads the old value of $x$.

$$
\begin{array}{rll} 
& r_{2}(z) r_{1}(x) r_{1}(y) w_{2}(z) w_{1}(x) w_{1}(y) w_{2}(x) & \\
\sim & r_{1}(x) r_{2}(z) r_{1}(y) w_{2}(z) w_{1}(x) w_{1}(y) w_{2}(x) & \\
\sim & r_{1}(x) r_{1}(y) r_{2}(z) w_{2}(z) w_{1}(x) w_{1}(y) w_{2}(x) & \text { reads always commute } \\
\sim & r_{1}(x) r_{1}(y) r_{2}(z) w_{1}(x) w_{2}(z) w_{1}(y) w_{2}(x) & \text { writes to different pages commute } \\
\sim & r_{1}(x) r_{1}(y) r_{2}(z) w_{1}(x) w_{1}(y) w_{2}(z) w_{2}(x) & \\
\sim & r_{1}(x) r_{1}(y) w_{1}(x) r_{2}(z) w_{1}(y) w_{2}(z) w_{2}(x) & \text { reads to different pages commute writes to different pages commute } \\
\sim & r_{1}(x) r_{1}(y) w_{1}(x) w_{1}(y) r_{2}(z) w_{2}(z) w_{2}(x) & \text { reads and writes to different pages commute }
\end{array}
$$

This is now a serial history, so the original history is serializable.

