Architectures

Distributed and Web Computing

Architectural Styles

Basic idea

- A style is formulated in terms of
	- (replaceable) components with well-defined interfaces
	- the way that components are connected to each other
	- the data exchanged between components
	- how these components and connectors are jointly configured into a system.
- **Connector**
	- A mechanism that mediates communication, coordination, or cooperation among components. Example: facilities for (remote) procedure call, messaging, or streaming.

Layered Architecture

Example: Communication Protocols

Client Server Architecture

```
from socket import * 
s = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM) 
(conn, addr) = s. accept()while True: 
       data = conn.recv(1024)if not data: break 
       msg = data.decode() + " * "conn.send(msg.encode()) 
conn.close()
```

```
from socket import * 
s = socket(AF_INET, SOCK_STREAM) 
s.connect((HOST, PORT)) 
msg = "Hello World" 
s.send(msg.encode()) 
data = s \cdot recv(1024)print(data.decode()) 
s.close()
```
Explanation

- There is a connection-oriented **service**
	- offered by the socket library

- Traditional three-layered view
	- Application-interface layer contains units for interfacing to users or external applications
	- Processing layer contains the functions of an application, i.e., without specific data
	- Data layer contains the data that a client wants to manipulate through the application components
- **Observation**
	- This layering is found in many distributed information systems, using traditional database technology and accompanying applications.

- Layering works well for the communications stack
- TCP/IP stack
	- Application layer
	- Transport layer
	- Network layer
	- Physical layer

- Bad examples for application layering
	- Layers that are not scalable
	- Excessive copying (e.g. logins are excruciatingly slow)
	- Layers that introduce dependencies to components under outside control
		- Resulting in legal issues
		- Chaos if a developer withdraws the component
- Alternative: Looser coupling: Service oriented architectures

Service Oriented Architectures

- Based on
	- Objects
	- Services
	- Micro-services
- Each service is executed as its own thread

• Objects interact with each other through method calls

- The *state* of an object encapsulates data
- *Methods* encapsulate the transformation of the data
- Interface conceals implementation details

• Implementing *remote objects*

- Client binds to a remote object by loading a *proxy* into its address space
	- (corresponding to a *stub* for **R**emote **P**rocedure **C**alls)
- The proxy implements the remote object's interface

- When a method of the remote object is invoked:
	- Parameters are passed to the Proxy
	- Proxy *marshals* parameters into a common format
	- Proxy sends the marshalled invocation to the server

- When a method of the remote object is invoked:
	- Message is passed on to the *Skeleton,* which unmarshalls the parameters
	- Skeleton then makes a method call to the remote object
	- Skeleton receives result

- When a method of the remote object is invoked:
	- Skeleton marshals results into a common format
	- Marshalled results are sent to the Proxy
	- Proxy unmarshalls the results and passes them on to the client

- In this architecture:
	- The state of the distributed system is not distributed
	- It is made up of the states of the objects
	- But usually only one object matters, the one accessed by the user

Service Oriented Architectures: Micro-service architectures

- ^A*service* is a standalone, independently deployable software component that implements some useful functionality.
	- Example: **Defines operations** •Service API Commands: createOrder() Invokes Queries: findOrderbyId() Order **Order Service** Service client Order Subscribes to events event publisher Order created Order cancelled
		- Publishes events when data changes

Service Oriented Architectures: Micro-service architectures

- Services are loosely coupled:
	- All interactions happen via an API
	- There is no common database that is accessed
		- Complicates data consistency and queries over several services
		- Eases contention for data access

Service Oriented Architectures: Micro-service architectures

Step 3: Define service APIs and collaborations

- Resource-based architecture
	- Views a distributed system as a huge collection of resources that are individually managed by component
	- Resources can be added and removed by remote applications
	- Resources can be modified and retrieved by remote applications

- **Re**presentational **S**tate **T**ransfer (REST) [Fielding 2000]
	- Resources are identified through a single naming scheme
	- All services offer the same interface, consisting of at most four operations: Put, Post, Get, Delete
	- Messages sent to or from a service are fully selfdescribed
	- After executing an operation at a service, that component forgets everything about the caller

• REST operations

- HTTP actions
	- GET: Get a representation of this resource.
	- DELETE: Destroy this resource.
	- POST: Create a new resource underneath this one, based on the given representation.
	- PUT / PATCH: Replace this state of this resource with the one described in the given representation.
	- HEAD, OPTIONS, CONNECT, TRACE
- But REST \neq HTTP, but HTTP is the application-level interface

- REST Constraints
- Client/Server Client are separated from servers by a well-defined interface
- Stateless A specific client does not consume server storage when it is "at rest"
- Cache Responses indicate their own cacheability
- Uniform interface
- Layered system A client cannot ordinarily tell whether it is connected directly to the end server, or to an intermediary along the way
- Code on demand (optional) Servers are able to temporarily extend or customize the functionality of a client by transferring logic to the client that can be executed within a standard virtual machine

- REST Example: Amazon Simple Storage Service S3
	- Two resources: objects (files) and buckets (directories)
		- Create an object / bucket:
			- Send HTTP PUT request with URI of object/bucket
		- To find all objects in a bucket:
			- Send HTTP GET request to bucket URI

- Coupling as loose as possible
- Separate processing and coordination

• System as a collection of autonomously operating processes

- Temporal coupling: Up at the same time
- Referential coupling: Knowing or not knowing each other
	- Examples of coupling:

- Mailbox:
	- Processes can send data to a mail-box type queue

- Event-based coordination
	- Process can publish notifications
	- Processes can subscribe to certain notifications

- Shared Data Space:
	- Processes communicate via tuples
		- Like the rows of a relational database table

- Example: Linda Tuple Space (1989)
	- **• OUT** primitive for storing tuples
	- **• RD** primitive for reading stored tuple
	- **• IN** primitive for reading and deleting stored tuple
- **•** in and rd are **blocking**. If there are no matching tuple, the requesting process blocks

- Linda example continued:
	- Dining philosopher problems:
		- A bunch of philosophers sit around a table and think
		- Sometimes, they want to eat, for which they need two shared forks


```
phi(i)int i;
\mathcal{F}while(1) {
    think();
    in("room ticket");
    in("chopstick", i);in("chopstick", (i+1)%Num);
    ext();
    out("chopstick", i);
    out("chopstick", (i+1)%Num);
    out("room ticket");
   ን
}
initialize()
\mathbf{f}int i;
   for (i = 0; i < Num; i++) {
    out("chopstick", i);
    eval(\text{phil}(i));
    if (i \lt (Num-1)) out("room ticket");
   ł
\mathbf{L}
```

Publish-Subscribe Architectures

blog = linda.universe._rd(("MicroBlog",linda.TupleSpace))[1]

blog._out(("bob","distsys","I am studying chap 2")) blog._out(("bob","distsys","The linda example's pretty simple")) blog._out(("bob","gtcn","Cool book!"))

(a) Bob's code for creating a microblog and posting three messages.

blog = linda.universe._rd(("MicroBlog",linda.TupleSpace))[1]

blog._out(("alice","gtcn","This graph theory stuff is not easy")) blog._out(("alice","distsys","I like systems more than graphs"))

(b) Alice's code for creating a microblog and posting two messages.

blog = linda.universe._rd(("MicroBlog",linda.TupleSpace))[1]

 $t1 = blog.-rd(("bob", "distsys", str))$

- $t2 = blog.rd(("alice", "gten", str))$
- $t3 = blog.-rd(("bob", "gten", str))$

(c) Chuck reading a message from Bob's and Alice's microblog.

Publish-Subscribe Architectures

- Subscribe needs to describe the items of interest
	- This means *naming*
- Topic-based Publish-Subscribe Architecture:
	- Items are described
		- In the form of key-value pairs
- Content-based Publish-Subscribe Architecture
	- Items are directly compared to the search criteria

Publish-Subscribe Architectures

- Need a middleware layer that allows the matching and forwarding
	- Middleware can notify or can forward

Middleware and Distributed Systems

Middleware

• Classical Middleware

Middleware

- Middleware: manager of resources to share and deploy resources across a network
- Typical middleware services
	- Facilities for inter-application communication.
	- Security services.
	- Accounting services.
	- Masking of and recovery from failures.

Middleware

- Problem
	- The interfaces offered by a legacy component are most likely not suitable for all applications.
- Solution
	- A wrapper or adapter offers an interface acceptable to a client application. Its functions are transformed into those available at the component.

Middleware Design Pattern **Wrappers**

- A wrapper or adapter
	- Special component that offers an interface acceptable to a client application, of which the functions are transformed into those available at the component.

Middleware Design Pattern Wrappers

- Number of wrappers can become huge
	- N systems could need $N(N-1)$ wrappers
	- Can use a broker:

Middleware Design Pattern Interceptors

- Interceptor Software construct that breaks the normal flow of control and allows other code to be executed
- Object A calls a method of object B

•

- Object A is offered a local interface that is the same as the interface offered by object B. A calls the method available in that interface.
- The call by A is transformed into a generic object invocation, made possible through a general object-invocation interface offered by the middleware at the machine where A resides.
- Finally, the generic object invocation is transformed into a message that is sent through the transport-level network interface as offered by A's local operating system.

Middleware Design Pattern Interceptors

Modifiable Middleware

- Modifiable Middleware adaptive software
- Problem
	- Middleware contains solutions that are good for most applications
		- $\bullet \Rightarrow$ you may want to adapt its behavior for specific applications.
- Interceptors are the basic solution

- Basic Client–Server Model
	- Characteristics:
		- There are processes offering services (servers)
		- There are processes that use services (clients)
		- Clients and servers can be on different machines
		- Clients follow request/reply model regarding using services

- Multi-tiered centralized system architectures
	- Some traditional organizations
		- Single-tiered: dumb terminal/mainframe configuration
		- Two-tiered: client/single server configuration
		- Three-tiered: each layer on separate machine

• Two-Tiered Systems

• Component can be client and server at the same time

Example: Network File System

- **Foundations**
	- Each NFS server provides a standardized view of its local file system: each server supports the same model, regardless the implementation of the file system.
- The NFS remote access model (left) and the upload download alternative (right

Example: Network File System

Example: Network File System

- VFS replaces the interface of the Client's file system
- Client-server communication uses Remote Procedure Calls

Simple Web Servers

- **Documents**
	- Simple documents
	- Marked up with HyperText Markup Language (HTML)
	- Common Gateway Interface (CGI)
		- Web server executes a program based on user data
		- After processing, result is served as a document to the client

CGI uses two-tiered server

- **Documents**
	- Simple documents
	- Marked up with HyperText Markup Language (HTML)
	- Common Gateway Interface (CGI)
	- Server Side Scripts
		- Server executes a script embedded in the document
		- Document gets altered
			- <?php echo \$_SERVER['REMOTE_ADDR']; ?>

Symmetrically distributed system architectures

Symmetrically distributed system architectures

- Vertical distribution:
	- Multi-tiered architecture
- Horizontal distribution:
	- client or server physically split up into logically equivalent parts
	- each part is operating on its own share of the complete data set
	- E.g. P2P systems

P2P

- Peers are (assumed to be) equal:
	- Processes acts as clients and servers
- P2P use an overlay network
	- Communication channels established over a network
	- Often TCP

- Nodes in overlay network follow a given topology
	- Example: Hypercube

- Topology is used to look up data
	- P2P system implements a Distributed Hash Table
		- Stores key-value pairs
	- Key-Value pairs where the key is the hash of the value

- Example: Hypercube
	- We are 0011 and look for item with
		- Hash 10010100…01
	- Located at 1001
		- Send message to 1011, which forwards to 1001
		- Document gets returned on the same route

• Chord: Peers arranged in a single virtual circle

- Chord
	- A joining peer gets a random binary identifier
	- Needs to be able to access one peer
	- Finds predecessor and successor
	- Predecessor sets the next link to the new peer
	- New peer sets its next link to the successor
	- Peer stores all items from successor with hashes \leq it's id

- Chord:
	- To find item with a given hash:
		- Send request along the next links until it reaches the correct peer
	- To speed up searches:
		- Peers maintain short-cut links
			- Which might or might not work

• Chord

• Chord: We are in 28. Find item with hash 24?

- Chord: We are in 28. Find item with hash 24?
	- We have shortcuts to 4, 14.

Structured P2P

- Chord: We are in 28. Find item with hash 24?
	- We have shortcuts to 4, 14.
	- Pick the highest one ≤ 24

- E.g Gnutella
	- Each node has a list of some peers
	- Upon joining, a peer get's a list of peers from the contacted peer
	- Peers constantly update their lists of peers
		- by randomly dropping
		- and by randomly adding discovered peers

- To find something:
	- Flooding
		- A peer contact its contacts
		- Contacts contact their contacts
		- ... up to a certain number of iterations
			- Use Time To Live field

- Random walk
	- Searching peer select one or n neighbor and sends request
	- While a peer receives the request:
		- If it has resource, then sends it to originator
		- Otherwise: selects a single neighbor and forward request
	- Use TTL or contact originator to check whether the walk should be stopped.

- Policy-based searches in UP2P
	- Examples:
		- A peer updates its contact list based on responsiveness to queries
		- Prefer contacts with large lists of neighbors for flooding,

Hierarchically Structured P2P

- Use special nodes that keep an index of available resources
- Use special nodes that act as brokers
	- Collect data on capacity and use
	- Allows to allocate resources to the best suited peers

Hierarchically Structured P2P

- Introduces a distinction between peers
	- E.g. super-peers and weak pears

Hierarchically Structured P2P

• A weak peer does not have to be attached to the same super-peer, but can change based on past experience

• Super-peer selection is the next problem

- Bit Torrent: File-downloading system
	- Force downloaders to be active participants
	- Prevent *"free riding"*

- Client looks up file at a Bit Torrent web page
	- This is a global directory
- Lookup result is a "*Torrent File*"
	- Torrent file has a link to a *tracker*

- Trackers has a list of active servers with chunks of the desired file
	- Usually a single tracker per file
- Tracker adds requesting node to its list
- Requesting node joins a *swarm*

- Gets a chunk from a peer in the swarm
- Then trades the chunk for another chunk with a peer in the swarm

Hybrid System Solutions

- Real-world solutions often combine many architectures
- Some distributed systems cross organizational boundaries

Cloud Computing

- Organizations with data centers have looked for ways to open up their facilities to paying clients
	- *• Utility computing*: Clients upload tasks to a data center and are charged on a per-resource basis
	- *•* Morphed into cloud computing:
		- *•* Virtualization of resources
		- *•* Service-Level Agreements (SLA)

Cloud Computing

Cloud Computing Gmail Web services, multimedia, business apps YouTube, Flickr Application **MS Azure** Software framework (Java/Python/.Net) Google App engine Storage (databases) Platforms Amazon S3 Computation (VM), storage (block, file) Amazon EC2 Infrastructure
aa Svc nfrastructure CPU, memory, disk, bandwidth Datacenters Hardware

Google docs

- Make a distinction between four layers
	- Hardware: Processors, routers, power and cooling systems. Customers normally never get to see these.
	- Infrastructure: Deploys virtualization techniques. Evolves around allocating and managing virtual storage devices and virtual servers.
	- Platform: Provides higher-level abstractions for storage and such.
		- Example: Amazon S3 storage system offers an API for (locally created) files to be organized and stored in so-called buckets.
	- Application: Actual applications, such as office suites (text processors, spreadsheet applications, presentation applications). Comparable to the suite of apps shipped with OS.

Cloud Computing

- Driven by:
	- Provisioning: Only pay for what you currently use
	- Outsourcing of IT & Security
	- Agility
	- Clustering
	- …

- **• ^I**nternet **o**f **T**hings
- **•** Moving big data from IoT to cloud is not efficient:
	- **•** bandwidth, privacy, low-latency requirements
- **•** Edge computing
	- **•** Move computation closer to IoT devices
- **•** Fog-computing: Computing, storage, networking, data management at within close vicinity of IoT devices
- **•** Mist computing: computation at networks, sensors and actuators that make up IoT components

- Commonly (and often misconceived) arguments
	- Latency and bandwidth: Especially important for certain real-time applications, such as augmented/virtual reality applications. Many people underestimate the latency and bandwidth to the cloud.
	- Reliability: The connection to the cloud is often assumed to be unreliable, which is often a false assumption. There may be critical situations in which extremely high connectivity guarantees are needed.
	- Security and privacy: The implicit assumption is often that when assets are nearby, they can be made better protected. Practice shows that this assumption is generally false. However, securely handling data operations in the cloud may be trickier than within your own organization

- Managing resources at the edge may be trickier than in the cloud
	- Resource allocation: we need to guarantee the availability of the resources required to perform a service.
	- Service placement: we need to decide when and where to place a service. This is notably relevant for mobile applications.
	- Edge selection: we need to decide which edge infrastructure should be used when a service needs to be offered. The closest one may not be the best one.
- **Observation**
	- There is still a lot of buzz about edge infrastructures and computing, yet whether all that buzz makes any sense remains to be seen.

- **• Distributed ledgers:** Enable registration of transactions
- **•** Transaction system:
	- **•** A transaction is
		- **•** validated
		- **•** ^effectuated
		- **•** stored for auditing purposes

- Can have a single (or a few) **trusted third party**
	- that acts as a notary
- Lack of trust:
	- Large set of participants who jointly register transactions among them in a public ledger

A single validated block is broadcast to all the nodes

- There is logically only a single chain of blocks with validated transactions
- Each block is immutable
- Different block chain systems use different modes of
	- Who carries out validation

Summary

• Distributed systems can be organized in many ways

- Centralized selection of validator
	- A single entity decides who can validate

• against block chain principles

• Distributed solution with permissions

- A selected, relatively small group of servers jointly reach consensus on which validator can go ahead.
- None of these servers needs to be trusted, as long as roughly two-thirds behave according to their specifications.
- In practice, only a few tens of servers can be accommodated.

• Decentralized selection of validator

- Participants select a leader
- Only the elected leader is allowed to append a block of validated transactions.
- Large-scale, decentralized leader election that is fair, robust, secure, and so on, is far from trivial.

-
-
-
-
-

-
-
-
-
-